

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/820,302	04/08/2004	Hilde Grude Borgos	60.1531 7440 EXAMINER	
37003	7590 08/11/2005			
SCHLUMBERGER-DOLL RESEARCH 36 OLD OUARRY ROAD			HUGHES, SCOTT A	
RIDGEFIELD, CT 06877-4108			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3663	
			DATE MAILED: 08/11/2009	5 .

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summany	10/820,302	BORGOS ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Scott A. Hughes	3663					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	ely filed swill be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 Ap	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>15 April 2005</u> .						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☒ This	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	ſ.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>08 April 2004</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
·							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)							
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 4 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)							
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>4/15/2005</u> . 6) Other:							
.S. Patent and Trademark Office							

Art Unit: 3663

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 7 recites the limitation "within each class" in the last line of the claim.

There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-6, 13-15, and 18-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Sonneland.

With regards to claim 1, 20, and 21, Sonneland discloses a method of processing and interpreting seismic data. Sonneland discloses a computer system and a computer product that have means for carrying out the method. Sonneland discloses identifying a plurality of extrema positions associated with the seismic data, deriving coefficients that

Art Unit: 3663

characterize the seismic data waveform in the vicinity of the extrema positions, and forming groups of the extrema positions where the coefficients are similar (Figs. 4-5) (Column 5, Line 46 to Column 6, Line 8; Column 1; Columns 3-4).

With regard to claim 2, Sonneland discloses that he extrema positions are identified with sub-sample precision (Column 4, Lines 20-33).

With regard to claim 3, Sonneland discloses that the coefficients are derivatives (Column 1, Lines 25-57).

With regard to claim 4, Sonneland discloses that the derivatives are determined using orthogonal polynomials and the derivatives allow local reconstructions of seismic traces in the vicinity of the extrema positions to be obtained using Taylor series expansions (Columns 1, 3-4).

With regard to claim 5, Sonneland discloses that the seismic data is subjected to orthogonal polynomial spectral decomposition and the extrema positions are identified based on the decomposed seismic data (Columns 1, 3).

With regard to claim 6, Sonneland discloses that the orthogonal polynomial spectral decomposition comprises volume reflection spectral decomposition with Chebyshev polynomials used as the basis functions (Columns 1, 3).

With regard to claim 13, Sonneland discloses defining a volume of interest within the seismic data (abstract; Columns 1, 4).

With regard to claim 14, Sonneland discloses that the volume of interest comprises a vertical window of constant thickness or a volume between two predetermined seismic horizons (Column 4, Line 27 to Column 6, Line 34).

Art Unit: 3663

With regard to claim 15, Sonneland discloses that horizon segments are extracted on opposite sides of input fault surfaces (Column 5, line 48 to Column 6).

With regard to claim 18, Sonneland discloses that the groups of extrema positions are used to create a horizon interpretation (Column 5, Line 45 to Column 6, Line 40).

With regard to claim 19, Sonneland discloses that the groups of extrema positions are used to extract a seismic volume containing multiple reflectors having similar seismic response (Column 5, Line 45 to Column 6, Line 40).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 8-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sonneland ass applied to claims 1-6, 13-15, and 18-19 above and further in view of Hildenbrand.

With regard to claims 8-11, Sonneland does not disclose that the groups of extrema positions are formed using supervised classification. Sonneland discloses that the coefficients of the extrema values can be used in determining fault locations and other geological features (Column 5, Lines 48-68). Sonneland discloses that sorting rules are given to a computer to identity and isolate the features, and that synthetic

Page 5

traces can be used to help classify the data (Columns 5-6). Sonneland does not disclose using supervised or unsupervised classification for the positions of the extrema points. Hildenbrand discloses that it is known to use supervised and unsupervised classification in determining horizons and faults (Columns 1-3) using extrema values. It would have been obvious to modify Sonneland to include using either supervised or unsupervised classification with the seed points and number of classes provided by a user as taught by Hildenbrand in order to generate a horizon map of a survey area.

With regard to claim 12, Sonneland Hildenbrand discloses that seed points for the unsupervised classification are selected at random and small spatially contiguous horizon segments are extracted locally around the seed points (Columns 3-6).

Claims 16-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sonneland as applied to claims 1-6, 13-15, and 18-19 above and further in view of Stark.

With regard to claims 16 and 17, Sonneland does not disclose that fault displacement estimates are determined using the extracted horizon estimates or that the fault displacement is broken into throw and heave components. Stark discloses that selected positions can be used in horizon estimates to calculate the throw and heave of a volume (pages 8-11). Sonneland discloses estimating volumes from the selected extrema points, and discloses that these estimates can be used for detecting reflectors, fractures, and other geological features in a survey (Columns 5-6). It would have been obvious to modify Sonneland to include calculating the throw and heave of the detected

Art Unit: 3663

fault locations from the estimated horizons in order to be able to study the movement of

hydrocarbons in a formation through fault movement.

Conclusion

The cited prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to

applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Scott A. Hughes whose telephone number is 571-272-

6983. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:00am to 5:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Jack Keith can be reached on (571) 272-6878. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JACK KEITH
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 6